1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT TACOMA 10 STEPHANIE WILSON, Case No. 11 12 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION** 13 **FEDERAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT** VS. 14 15 LTD FINANCIAL SERVICES, LP, 16 Defendant. 17 18 NATURE OF ACTION 19 20 This is an action brought under the Fair Debt Collection Practices 1. 21 Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., and the Telephone Consumer 22 Protection Act ("TCPA"), 47 U.S.C. § 227. 23 24 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 25 2. This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 47 U.S.C. § 26 27 227(b)(3), 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a). COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR 28 DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-1 WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC 3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. Loon Lake ,WA 99148 509-232-1882 866-565-1327 facsimile jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

2526

27

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES $\operatorname{ACT-2}$

3. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), where the acts and transactions giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in this State and this district, where Plaintiff resides in this State and this district, and where Defendant transacts business in this State and this district.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Stephanie Wilson ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person who at all relevant times resided in the State of Washington, County of Clallam, and City of Port Angeles.
 - 5. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendant, Ltd Financial Services, LP ("Defendant") is an entity who at all relevant times was engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of attempting to collect a "debt" from Plaintiff, as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 7. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person obligated, or allegedly obligated, to pay a debt owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 9. Plaintiff's obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant, arises from a transaction in

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-3

which the money, property, insurance, or services that are the subject of the transaction were incurred primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

- 10. Defendant uses instrumentalities of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, and/or regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 11. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant made and/or placed a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 12. Within one (1) year preceding the date of this Complaint, Defendant willfully and knowingly utilized an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, in effort to collect from Plaintiff an obligation, or alleged obligation, owed or due, or asserted to be owed or due a creditor other than Defendant.
- 13. Defendant, via its agent or employee Keith Cook, has placed several calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone with the intent to harass, annoy, or abuse Plaintiff.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-4

14. During one of these phone calls on August 31, 2010 Plaintiff requested that Defendant no longer contact her via telephone. Defendant falsely represented that it did not have to cease telephonic communication with Plaintiff until it received notice in writing to cease and desist.

- 15. Furthermore, Defendant falsely represented that it could call Plaintiff on a daily basis simply because Plaintiff had returned Defendant's phone call. (15 U.S.C. §§ 1692d(5), 1692e(10)).
- 16. Defendant repeatedly demanded payment from Plaintiff during the during the thirty-day dispute period following Plaintiff's receipt of Defendant's initial communication dated July 31, 2010, conduct that was inconsistent with, and overshadowed, the disclosures required by 15 USC § 1692g(a). (15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b)).
- 17. Defendant placed multiple non-emergency calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone, without the prior express consent of Plaintiff, using an automatic telephone dialing system including but not limited to calls on August 2, 2010 @ 12:10 P.M., August 10, 2010 @ 10:35 A.M., and August 31, 2010 @ 11:21 A.M. (47 U.S.C. 227(b)(1)(A)(iii)).
- 18. Defendant's actions constitute conduct highly offensive to a reasonable person.

26

27

COUNT I

- 19. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.
 - 20. Defendant violated the FDCPA as detailed above.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated the FDCPA;
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k, in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;
- e) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law;
- f) Awarding such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II

21. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained above.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-5

22. Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii) by willfully and knowingly utilizing an automatic telephone dialing system to make and/or place a telephone call to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment, as follows:

- a) Adjudging that Defendant violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii);
- b) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B), in the amount of \$500.00 per violation;
- c) Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C), in the amount of \$1,500.00 per violation;
- d) Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B);
- e) Awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees ands costs incurred in this action;
- f) Awarding Plaintiff any pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as may be allowed under the law.

TRIAL BY JURY Plaintiff is entitled to and hereby demands a trial by jury. Respectfully submitted this 10th day of November, 2010. s/Jon N. Robbins Jon N. Robbins WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC Attorney for Plaintiff COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT-7 WEISBERG & MEYERS, LLC 3877 N. Deer Lake Rd. Loon Lake ,WA 99148 509-232-1882

866-565-1327 facsimile jrobbins@AttorneysForConsumers.com